



2026 Microbrew Review Criteria Rubric

Each criterion will be scored from 1 (criterion is not met) to 3 (criterion is exceeded). The Committee reserves the right to contact authors for more information and to transfer submissions, if warranted, to another presentation format within the Conference program. No re-submissions will be allowed.

	Does not address criteria (1)	Adequately addresses criteria (2)	Exceeds expectations in addressing criteria (3)
Project description	The curricular innovation is not described, or the description does not provide any relevant context, and/or the rationale for the activity cannot be inferred.	The project is adequately described; the description provides some relevant context and/or rationale for the activity can be easily inferred.	The project is very clearly described; the description provides all relevant context and the rationale for the activity is explicitly stated.
Relevance to ASMCUE audience and/or theme	The topic is not relevant, is not current, and/or lacks importance or appropriateness to the field. It does not appear to be a worthwhile presentation for ASMCUE attendees.	The topic may not be current, but it is relevant to the field and potential audience. It might be a worthwhile presentation for some ASMCUE attendees.	The topic is current, relevant, groundbreaking, or significant to the field and potential audience. It appears to be a very worthwhile session. Likely to be a very worthwhile presentation for multiple groups.
Goals for participants	The participant goals are not stated, cannot be inferred, and/or do not seem likely to be achievable.	The participant goals may not be stated but can easily be inferred and seem possible to achieve.	The participant goals are explicitly stated and seem very likely to be achieved.